TD 2 10 11 T 1	. 50011	Application No.	10/613,006	
TRANSMITTAL FORM		Filing Date	July 7, 2003	
(to be used for all corresponde	nce after initial filing)	First Named Inventor	Sarah E. Kim	
		Art Unit	2813	
		Examiner Name	Dolan, Jennifer M.	
Total Number of Pages in This S	Submission 5	Attorney Docket Number	42P12750D	
	NCLOSURES (che	ck all that apply)		
Fee Transmittal Form	☐ Drawing(s)	After Allowance Communication to Group	
Fee Attached	Licensing-	related Papers	Appeal Communication to Boar of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Response	Petition		Appeal Communication to Grou (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final Affidavits/declaration(s)	Petition to Provisiona	Convert a I Application	Proprietary Information	
Extension of Time Request	Power of A Change o	Attorney, Revocation f Correspondence Address		
Express Abandonment Reque		Disclaimer	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Information Disclosure Statem	ent Request fo	or Refund	Supplemental	
PTO/SB/08	CD, Numb	er of CD(s)	Declaration/POA Return Postcard	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	D			
Basic Filing Fee	Remarks			
Declaration/POA				
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53				
SIGI	NATURE OF APPLICA	NT, ATTORNEY, OR AC	GENT	
Firm Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879				
or Individual name BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP				
Signature Signature				
July Wyndonson				
Date Fánuary 25, 2005				
		LING/TRANSMISSION		
I hereby certify that this corresponde sufficient postage as first class mail 22313-1450.	nce is being deposited wi in an envelope addressed	th the United States Postal to: Commissioner for Pate	Service on the date shown below with nts, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA	
Typed or printed name Kristi	n A. Morrow			
Signature #	risten (1.1	MOULDIN)	Date January 25, 2005	

Based on PTO/SB/21 (04-04) as modified by Blakely, Solokoff, Taylor & Zafman (wtr) 06/04/2004.
SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box/1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

JAN 31 2015 PE					
FEE TOANSM	ITTAL		Complete if Known		
FEE I KANSIVI	IIIIAL	Application Number	10/613,006		
FEE TRANSM for FY 20	05	Filing Date	July 7, 2003		
Patent fees are subject to annual			First Named Inventor	Sarah E. Kim	_
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.			Examiner Name	Dolan, Jennifer M.	_
	T		Art Unit	2813	_
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT	(\$)	0.00	Attorney Docket No.	42P12750D	_

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)						
□ Check □ Credit card □ Money Order □ None □ Other (please identify):						
Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 02-2666 Deposit Account Name: Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP						
For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayment of fee(s) Under 37 CFR §§ 1.16, 1.17, 1.18 and 1.20.						
FEE CALCULA	FEE CALCULATION					
Large Enti	Large Entity Small Entity					
Fee Fee		Fee	Fee	Foo Doggription	- · ·	
Code(\$)		Code	(\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid	
1051	130	2051	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath		
1052	50	2052	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet.		
2053	130	2053		Non-English specification		
1251	120	2251	60	Extension for reply within first month		
1252	450	2252	225	Extension for reply within second month		
1253	1,020	2253	510	Extension for reply within third month		
1254	1,590	2254	795	Extension for reply within fourth month		
1255	2,160	2255	1,080	Extension for reply within fifth month		
1401	500	2401	250	Notice of Appeal		
1402	500	2402	250	Filing a brief in support of an appeal		
1403	1,000	2403	500	Request for oral hearing		
1451	1,510	2451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding		
1460	130	2460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner		
1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)		
1806	180	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt		
1809	790	1809	395	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))		
1810	1810 790 2810 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))					
Other fee	Other fee (specify) Supplemental Declaration/POA - No Fee 0.00					
				SUBTOTAL (2)	0.00	

SUBMITTED BY				Complete (if applicable)	
Name (Print/Type)	Paul A. Mendonsa	Registration No. (Attomey/Agent)	42,879	Telephone	(503) 439-8778
Signature	that Mandara			Date	01/25/05

Attorney's Docket No.: P12750D



TOT AVAILABLE COPY

PATENT

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION (FOR INTEL CORPORATION PATENT APPLICATIONS)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, mailing address, and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

l believe I am the original and first inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

A BARRIER STRUCTURE AGAINST CORROSION AND CONTAMINATION IN THREE-DIMENSIONAL (3-D) WAFER-TO-WAFER VERTICAL STACK

the specification of	of which			
	is attached hereto. was filed on Ju	ly 7, 2003		as
		es Application Number	10/613,006	
	or PCT Inte	ernational Application Nu	mber	
		nended on		
		-11	(if applicable)	— .
		l understand the contents of dment specifically referre		d specification, including
America before m before my invention that the claimed in prior to this applica an inventor's certi America on an ap	y invention thereof, on thereof or more the theore of or more the treation was in publication, nor do I know ficate issued before the plication filed by me	the claimed invention was or patented or described in an one year prior to this aic use or on sale in the University or believe that the invented attention or my legal representatives (for a design patent app	n any printed publica application. I do not laited States of Americation has been patented in any country foreignes or assigns more the	tion in any country know and do not believe a more than one year d or made the subject of gn to the United States of an twelve months (for a
1.56, including fo	r continuation-in-par	information which is mate t applications, material in and the national or PCT in	formation which beca	ame available between
as my/our attorney	y(s) or agents(s), with	ed with the Customer Nur h full power of substitutio es Patent and Trademark	n and revocation, to p	prosecute this application and to
Please direct all c		ay Beale <u>, Intel Americas,</u> Name of Attorney or Age		
Intel Corporatio	n M/S SC4-202 P	O Roy 5326 Santa Clar	a CA 95056-5326	and

INTEL CORPORATION

direct telephone calls to: Jay Beale, <u>Intel Americas</u>, <u>Inc.</u> (703) 633-0927. (Name of Attorney or Agent)

Attorney's Docket No.: P12750D

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by time o: imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of	Invento	Scot A. Kellar		<u> </u>	
Inventor's Sign	nature _	LiotAKell	Dat	e 12/15/04	
Residence		Bend, OR (City, State)	Citizenship	USA (Country)	
Post Office Address 1659 NW Albany Ave, Bend, OR, 97701					

Attorney's Docket No.: P12750D

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

Rev. 11/10/2004